

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/648,785	. (08/27/2003	Joeri Lof	081468-0305473	4480	
909	7590	09/08/2005	1	EXAMINER		
PILLSBUR	Y WINT	HROP SHAW P	PITTMAN, LLP	KO, TONY		
P.O. BOX 1 MCLEAN,		2		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
mozzin,	22.0	-		2878		

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			RY
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/648,785	LOF ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Tony Ko	2878	
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may nunication. atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M will, by statute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>21 June 2005</i>		
	2b) ☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	for allowance except for formal ma	·	its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> , <u>17-24</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-16</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by th 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to a specific content of the specific content o	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to ction to the drawing(s) be held in abey g the correction is required if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received ir of the priority documents have be onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	PTO-948) Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 7, 17, 20, 21-24, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moriyama (U.S. Patent 4,798,470).
- 3. Regarding claims 1, 5, 7, and 17, Moriyama discloses (Fig. 8) an alignment tool, comprising: a substrate table (111) configured to hold a substrate (101) having a substrate mark (103), wherein the substrate mark may be at a different level from the rest of the surface of the substrate; and an alignment system (Fig. 11) configured to detect alignment between a reference mark (115) and the substrate mark (103) using an alignment beam of radiation, wherein an optical element (104) is removably positionable in the path of the alignment beam to adjust the focal plane of the alignment system to focus on the substrate mark at a different level from the rest of the surface of the substrate. Moriyama also discloses the alignment system comprises a projection system (129) and the optical element is placed in the path of the alignment beam directly after the alignment system. Moriyama also discloses a front-to-backside alignment optics configured to direct the alignment beam to the back of the substrate and in which the optical element is placed on the entrance to the front-to-back side alignment optics (Fig. 8). Moriyama also discloses the optical element comprises a

Art Unit: 2878

plurality of optical elements removably positionable in the path of the alignment beam such that one or more (104) may be simultaneously in the path of the alignment beam.

- 4. Regarding claims 22-24, Moriyama discloses projecting an alignment beam of radiation onto a substrate mark (103) provided on a substrate (101), wherein the substrate mark may be at a different level from the rest of the surface of the substrate; and adjusting the focal plane of the alignment beam to focus on the substrate mark at a different level from the rest of the surface of the substrate by interposing an optical element into the alignment beam while detecting alignment.
- 5. Regarding claims 20 and 21, Moriyama also discloses a lithographic projection apparatus, comprising: a radiation system configured to provide a beam of radiation; a support configured to support a patterning device, the patterning device (114) configured to pattern the beam of radiation according to a desired pattern; a projection system (127) configured to project the patterned beam onto a target portion (102) of a substrate (101); and an alignment tool including a substrate table (111) configured to hold the substrate (131) having a substrate mark (103), wherein the substrate mark (103) may be at a different level from the rest of the surface of the substrate; and an alignment system configured to detect alignment between a reference mark (115) and the and the substrate mark (103) using an alignment beam of radiation, wherein an optical element is removably positionable in the path of the alignment beam to adjust the focal plane of the alignment system to focus on the substrate mark at a different level from the rest of the surface of the substrate. Moriyama also discloses the alignment beam traverses at least part of the projection system.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,785 Page 4

Art Unit: 2878

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3, 4, 6, 8, 9-12, 18, 19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moriyama (U.S. Patent 4,798,470).
- 8. Regarding claim 3, Moriyama discloses the invention set forth above, Moriyama does not disclose to adjust the focal plane of the alignment system by up to 2mm. It is design choice to adjust the focal plane up to 2mm. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to adjust the focal plane by up to 2mm to accommodate for different substrate sizes.
- 9. Regarding claim 4, Moriyama discloses the invention set forth above, and Moriyama does not disclose adjust the alignment system by at least 0.1mm. It is design choice to adjust the alignment system by at 0.1mm. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to adjust the focal plane by at least 0.1 mm to accommodate for different substrate sizes.
- 10. Regarding claim 6, Moriyama discloses the invention set forth above; Moriyama does not disclose the optical element is attached to the substrate table. It is design choice to attach the optical element to the substrate table. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to attach the optical

Art Unit: 2878

element to the substrate table to ensure the optical element is on the focal point of the alignment beam.

- 11. Regarding claim 8, Moriyama discloses the invention set forth above; Moriyama does not disclose the optical element along the projection beam is altered to adjust the focal plane of the alignment beam. It is well known to adjust the optical element to adjust and focus a beam of light. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to alter the optical element to adjust the focal plane of an alignment beam to precisely focus the light beam.
- Regarding claims 18 and 19, Moriyama discloses the invention set forth above, Moriyama does not disclose moving the focal plane of the alignment system in a direction that is perpendicular or parallel to the direction of propagation of the alignment beam. It is well known to adjust the focal plane of the alignment system in a direction that is perpendicular or parallel to the direction of propagation of the alignment to adjust to the different thicknesses of the wafers.
- 13. Claims 2, 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moriyama (U.S. Patent 4,798,470) in view of Omata (U.S. Patent 4,616,130).
- 14. Regarding claim 2, Moriyama discloses the invention set forth above; Moriyama does not disclose the use of a plane plate. Omata discloses the use of a plane plate (6a). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a plane plate to achieve the desired refraction angle.
- 15. Regarding claims 9-11, Moriyama discloses the invention set forth above;

 Moriyama does not disclose the optical element comprises a plurality of interchangeable

Application/Control Number: 10/648,785 Page 6

Art Unit: 2878

optical elements. Omata discloses (Fig. 1) the optical element comprises a plurality of interchangeable optical elements (6a, 6b) with different thickness (different optical property). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use interchangeable optical elements with different thicknesses for the system to function properly with wafer of different thicknesses.

16. Regarding claim 12, Moriyama in view of Omata discloses the invention set forth above; Moriyama in view Omata does not disclose to vary the refractive indices. It is well known to vary the refractive indices of the optical elements. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize optical elements with different refractive indices to accurately adjust for the different wafers.

Allowable Subject Matter

17. Claims 13-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Prior art discloses the invention set forth above, prior art does not teach the optical element being hollow and filled with fluid being adjustable to change the refractive index of the optical element.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/648,785 Page 7

Art Unit: 2878

18. Applicant's arguments filed 6/21/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Moriyama does not disclose an optical element removably positionable. It is understood that removable means to change the location, position, station, or residence and positionable means an act of placing or arranging. Moriyama discloses the elements recited in the application are removably positionable. As shown in the drawings in the application, Moriyama discloses the optical element being removably positionable. That is, the optical element can be removed from its current location and placed as shown in Fig. 8. Thus, Moriyama clear anticipates the limitation applicant argued as allowable.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Ko whose telephone number is 571-272-1926.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,785

Art Unit: 2878

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TKO

SUPERVISORY POTENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 8